



Economic Policy Responses to Inflation and Income Inequality: Evidence from Indonesia

Supriyanto

supriyanto@gmail.com

Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Almuntahi Sampang

Article Info

Received:
10-08-2026

Revised:
11-08-2026

Accepted:
20-08-2026

Published:
26-08-2026

Abstract

Inflation and income inequality are two interconnected economic challenges that can affect household welfare, purchasing power, and the distribution of economic opportunities. This article aims to examine economic policy responses to inflation and income inequality in Indonesia, with particular attention to fiscal policy, monetary policy, social protection, and food-price stabilization. This study uses a literature review method to examine recent evidence on inflation management and income distribution in Indonesia. The findings indicate that policy coordination between monetary and fiscal authorities has contributed to maintaining price stability, while social protection and food-price interventions can reduce the welfare effects of inflation on vulnerable households. However, monetary tightening may have distributional consequences, particularly for households that depend heavily on wages, while persistent differences in access to assets and economic opportunities continue to influence inequality. Therefore, effective policy responses require coordination between price stability, household protection, and policies that support inclusive income growth.

Keywords: inflation, income inequality, economic policy, monetary policy, fiscal policy, Indonesia

1. Introduction

Inflation and income inequality represent important challenges for economic stability and social welfare. Rising prices reduce the purchasing power of households, while unequal income distribution determines how strongly different groups experience economic pressures. These issues are particularly important when inflation affects essential goods because lower-income households tend to have less capacity to absorb increases in living costs (Sari et al., 2023).

Indonesia has experienced periods of inflationary pressure driven by food prices, energy prices, global commodity developments, exchange-rate movements, and changes in domestic

demand. The policy response has therefore involved monetary measures as well as coordination between the central government, regional governments, and Bank Indonesia to maintain price stability (Bank Indonesia, 2024).

The relationship between inflation and inequality is complex because households do not consume the same basket of goods and do not have the same income sources. Lower-income households can be particularly exposed to increases in food and other essential prices because a larger proportion of their expenditure may be allocated to basic necessities. Consequently, the distributional consequences of inflation need to be considered alongside aggregate price developments (Lauper & Mangiante, 2023).

Monetary policy is one of the principal instruments for controlling inflation. Changes in interest rates can influence aggregate demand, credit conditions, exchange rates, and inflation expectations. However, monetary tightening can also affect households differently depending on their income sources, debt positions, employment conditions, and ownership of financial assets (Silva, Utama, & Mokoginta, 2025).

Monetary policy is one of the principal instruments for controlling inflation. Changes in interest rates can influence aggregate demand, credit conditions, exchange rates, and inflation expectations. However, monetary tightening can also affect households differently depending on their income sources, debt positions, employment conditions, and ownership of financial assets (Silva, Utama, & Mokoginta, 2025).

Food-price stabilization is particularly important in Indonesia because changes in food prices can have substantial welfare implications. Government and Bank Indonesia coordination through inflation-control programs has been used to manage supply and distribution pressures, particularly for volatile food commodities (Bank Indonesia, 2024).

Social protection represents another policy channel for mitigating the effects of inflation on vulnerable households. Assistance programs can support household consumption when prices rise rapidly, helping prevent temporary shocks from developing into deeper welfare losses. However, the effectiveness of such programs depends on coverage, targeting, and implementation quality (World Bank, 2024).

Income inequality also reflects structural differences in access to employment, assets, education, financial opportunities, and productive activities. Therefore, policies aimed at reducing inequality need to extend beyond short-term inflation responses and address the underlying factors that determine how income and economic opportunities are distributed across society (Andersen et al., 2023).

The interaction between monetary policy and inequality has received increasing attention in recent economic research. Evidence from Indonesia indicates that monetary policy affects income inequality through different transmission channels, meaning that a policy that stabilizes inflation may have different consequences for households depending on their sources of income and financial assets (Silva, Utama, & Mokoginta, 2025).

Based on these considerations, this article aims to examine economic policy responses to inflation and income inequality in Indonesia. The discussion focuses on monetary policy, fiscal policy, food-price stabilization, social protection, distributional effects, and the need for coordinated policies that maintain price stability while protecting household welfare.

2. Research Method

This article employs a literature review method to examine recent research and policy evidence concerning inflation, income inequality, monetary policy, fiscal policy, and household welfare in Indonesia. The review is intended to identify major policy approaches and their implications for price stability and income distribution (Sari et al., 2023).

The literature was selected based on its relevance to the relationship between inflation and inequality and the economic policy instruments used to address these issues. Academic studies, official reports, and policy publications were considered to provide both empirical and institutional perspectives on Indonesia's economic policy responses (Bank Indonesia, 2024).

The selected literature was analyzed thematically by identifying findings related to inflation control, monetary policy transmission, fiscal intervention, social protection, food-price stabilization, and income inequality. The findings were then synthesized to evaluate how these policies interact in addressing inflation and distributional challenges in Indonesia (Silva, Utama, & Mokoginta, 2025).

3. Results And Discussion

Inflation can affect different groups of households in different ways because consumption patterns vary across income groups. When essential goods become more expensive, households with limited financial resources may experience a larger reduction in real purchasing power. This makes inflation not only a macroeconomic issue but also a distributional issue that has implications for household welfare (Lauper & Mangiante, 2023).

Recent Indonesian evidence shows that inflation has remained relatively controlled while inequality has not completely disappeared. The World Bank reported that Indonesia's Gini coefficient increased from 35.4 in 2019 to 36.1 in 2023, while food-price interventions helped mitigate some of the effects of price pressures on poorer households (World Bank, 2024).

Monetary policy has been an important component of Indonesia's response to inflation. Bank Indonesia has used its policy rate and other monetary instruments to anchor inflation expectations, stabilize the rupiah, and maintain macroeconomic stability. In 2024, inflation remained within the country's target range, supported by monetary-policy consistency and coordination with the government on food-price stabilization (Bank Indonesia, 2024).

The effectiveness of monetary policy in controlling inflation, however, should not be evaluated independently from its distributional effects. Changes in interest rates can influence employment, credit conditions, asset prices, and household income in different ways. Evidence from Indonesia indicates that expansionary monetary policy can reduce income inequality, while contractionary policy can increase inequality through differences in the income and asset positions of households (Silva, Utama, & Mokoginta, 2025).

This distributional effect highlights an important policy trade-off. Tight monetary policy may be necessary when inflationary pressures threaten macroeconomic stability, but higher interest rates can also create challenges for households and businesses that rely on credit or wage income. Policymakers therefore need to consider both inflation stabilization and the broader effects of monetary decisions on household welfare (Andersen et al., 2023).

Fiscal policy provides another mechanism for addressing the distributional consequences of inflation. Government spending can support vulnerable households, finance public services, and provide infrastructure that expands economic opportunities. However, fiscal expansion can also influence aggregate demand, meaning that its design needs to account for both welfare objectives and price stability (Sriyana, 2022).

Food-price policies are particularly relevant because food represents an essential component of household consumption. Indonesia's inflation-control strategy includes coordination between Bank Indonesia and government institutions through national and regional inflation-control teams. Improvements in food supply and distribution contributed to keeping volatile-food inflation more manageable during periods of inflationary pressure (Bank Indonesia, 2024).

Social protection can complement monetary and fiscal stabilization by directly supporting households that are most vulnerable to rising prices. Transfers and food assistance can help households maintain consumption during periods of high food inflation. However, poorly targeted programs may reduce policy effectiveness and may not adequately reach households facing the greatest welfare risks (World Bank, 2024).

The quality of social protection is therefore as important as the amount of resources allocated to it. Policies should identify vulnerable households accurately, maintain appropriate coverage, and respond flexibly to changes in economic conditions. Effective protection can prevent temporary inflationary shocks from producing persistent declines in household welfare (World Bank, 2024).

Income inequality also has structural dimensions that cannot be solved entirely through short-term stabilization policies. Differences in access to financial assets, productive employment, education, and economic opportunities contribute to persistent disparities in income. Monetary and fiscal policies therefore need to be complemented by longer-term structural measures that improve access to opportunities across income groups (Andersen et al., 2023).

The interaction between inflation and inequality also depends on differences in household consumption baskets. Low- and middle-income households may face different inflation rates from higher-income households because they allocate their expenditures differently. This means that a single headline inflation rate may not fully capture the welfare effects experienced by different groups (Lauper & Mangiante, 2023).

Fiscal and monetary policy coordination is consequently important. Monetary policy primarily seeks to maintain price and macroeconomic stability, while fiscal measures can provide targeted support to households and address supply-side constraints. Indonesian evidence during the COVID-19 period suggests that coordinated fiscal and monetary responses helped prevent a wider increase in inequality under exceptional economic conditions (Sari et al., 2023).

The experience of inflation management in Indonesia also demonstrates the importance of supply-side measures. Food-price pressures may result from production disruptions, weather conditions, distribution problems, or seasonal factors that cannot be addressed effectively through interest rates alone. Coordination between monetary authorities and government institutions can therefore improve the effectiveness of inflation control by combining demand management with supply and distribution interventions (Bank Indonesia, 2024).

Another important consideration is the role of exchange-rate stability. Indonesia is exposed to imported inflation because some commodities and production inputs are influenced by global prices and international exchange rates. Policies that contribute to rupiah stability can therefore help limit the transmission of external price pressures into domestic inflation (Bank Indonesia, 2024).

The effects of inflation on inequality also depend on labor-market conditions. Households that rely primarily on wages may have limited ability to adjust their income when prices rise

quickly, particularly when wage increases lag behind inflation. This creates a potential decline in real income and can increase economic vulnerability among households with limited financial buffers (Silva, Utama, & Mokoginta, 2025).

Monetary policy can also affect inequality through financial assets. Households with substantial financial holdings may experience changes in asset values and returns when interest rates change, while households that depend mainly on wage income may respond differently. Such differences demonstrate that the transmission of monetary policy is not uniform across the income distribution (Silva, Utama, & Mokoginta, 2025).

The effectiveness of economic policy should therefore be evaluated using broader indicators than inflation alone. Inflation stability is important, but policymakers should also consider changes in real income, employment, poverty, household consumption, and income inequality. A policy response that successfully lowers inflation but significantly weakens the economic position of vulnerable households may require complementary fiscal and social measures (World Bank, 2024).

Another challenge is maintaining fiscal space while responding to economic shocks. Governments need sufficient budgetary capacity to provide social protection and support economic activity during periods of instability. At the same time, persistent fiscal expansion can create sustainability concerns. A balanced approach is therefore necessary to ensure that short-term interventions do not undermine long-term macroeconomic stability (Indrawati et al., 2024).

The Indonesian experience demonstrates that policy effectiveness depends on the interaction between monetary, fiscal, and structural policies. Inflation control requires credible monetary policy, while protecting household welfare requires targeted fiscal and social interventions. Longer-term reductions in inequality require improvements in access to productive employment, education, financial opportunities, and public services (Andersen et al., 2023).

Overall, the evidence suggests that Indonesia has made progress in managing inflation, but the distributional consequences of economic policy remain important. Maintaining stable prices should continue to be a major objective, while targeted measures should protect vulnerable households and address structural sources of income inequality. A coordinated policy framework is therefore more appropriate than relying on a single economic instrument (Sari et al., 2023).

4. Conclusion

Inflation and income inequality are closely connected economic challenges that require coordinated policy responses. Indonesia's experience shows that monetary policy is important for maintaining price stability, while fiscal policy, social protection, and food-price interventions can help protect household welfare when inflationary pressures increase.

However, policies designed to control inflation may produce different effects across income groups. Households that depend on wages, have limited financial assets, or spend a large proportion of their income on essential goods may be more vulnerable to economic shocks. This makes distributional considerations an important part of economic policymaking.

Effective policy responses should therefore combine price stability with targeted protection for vulnerable households and longer-term measures that expand access to employment, education, financial resources, and productive opportunities. Coordination between monetary and fiscal authorities is essential to ensure that inflation control does not come at the expense of inclusive economic development.

5. References

- Andersen, A. L., Johannesen, N., Jørgensen, M., & Peydró, J. L. (2023). Monetary policy and inequality. *The Journal of Finance*, 78(5), 2945–2989.
- Bank Indonesia. (2024). Laporan Kebijakan Moneter Triwulan IV 2024. Bank Indonesia.
- Indrawati, S. M., Satriawan, E., & Abdurrohman. (2024). Indonesia's fiscal policy in the aftermath of the pandemic. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 60(1), 1–33.
- Lauper, C., & Mangiante, G. (2023). Monetary policy shocks and inflation inequality. *International Journal of Central Banking*, 21(4).
- Sari, K. I., Qori'ah, C. G., Nasir, M. A., Wardhono, A., & Waluyo, A. T. (2023). The analysis of income inequality in Indonesia during COVID-19: A review of policy responses. *Jurnal REP (Riset Ekonomi Pembangunan)*, 8(2), 216–231.
- Silva, L. N., Utama, C., & Mokoginta, I. S. (2025). The impact of monetary policy on income inequality in Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi & Studi Pembangunan*, 26(2), 178–191.
- Sriyana, J. (2022). Fiscal and monetary policies to reduce inflation rate in Indonesia. *Jurnal Kebijakan Ekonomi dan Keuangan*, 1(1), 82–91.
- World Bank. (2024). Indonesia Poverty & Equity Brief. World Bank.