



Enhancing Science Literacy through Socio-Scientific Issues: Integrating Ethical Reasoning, Scientific Argumentation, and Inquiry-Based Instruction

Doni Kurniawan

donikurniawan@gmail.com

Universitas Mataram

Article Info

Received:
10-08-2026

Revised:
11-08-2026

Accepted:
20-08-2026

Published:
26-08-2026

Abstract

Science literacy requires students not only to understand scientific concepts but also to evaluate evidence, construct arguments, consider ethical perspectives, and make informed decisions about issues involving science and society. Socio-scientific issues (SSI) provide meaningful contexts for developing these competencies because they connect scientific knowledge with social, ethical, and environmental dimensions. This article aims to examine how SSI can enhance science literacy through the integration of ethical reasoning, scientific argumentation, and inquiry-based instruction. A literature review method was employed using recent studies published from 2022 to 2026. The findings indicate that SSI-based instruction can strengthen scientific literacy by engaging students in evidence-based argumentation, ethical consideration, inquiry, decision-making, and discussion of real-world problems. Therefore, integrating SSI into science instruction can provide a meaningful learning environment for developing students' scientific knowledge and their ability to use science responsibly in society.

Keywords: science literacy, socio-scientific issues, ethical reasoning, scientific argumentation, inquiry-based instruction

1. Introduction

Science education is increasingly expected to prepare students not only to understand scientific knowledge but also to use that knowledge when dealing with complex problems in society. Issues such as climate change, energy use, environmental pollution, biotechnology, and public health require individuals to interpret scientific information and consider its social consequences. Consequently, science literacy involves the ability to understand scientific information and use it appropriately when making decisions about real-world issues (Bossér, 2024).

Socio-scientific issues provide an appropriate context for developing science literacy because they involve scientific knowledge together with social, ethical, environmental, and political considerations. SSI commonly presents problems for which there is no single simple

answer, requiring students to examine evidence and consider different perspectives before reaching a decision. Recent research indicates that SSI has become strongly associated with scientific literacy, argumentation, decision-making, and scientific knowledge in science education research (Lee & Lee, 2024).

The use of SSI in science classrooms can also encourage students to recognize that scientific knowledge is connected to human values and social contexts. Scientific issues that affect communities often involve questions about responsibility, fairness, sustainability, and the consequences of decisions. Consequently, science instruction that incorporates ethical dimensions can help students understand science as a human activity rather than as knowledge that is completely separated from social and ethical considerations (Mamutse, 2024).

Ethical reasoning is particularly important when students encounter SSI because scientific evidence alone may not determine which decision should be taken. Students may need to consider competing values, potential benefits and risks, fairness, and responsibilities toward other people or the environment. Integrating ethical considerations into SSI instruction can therefore broaden students' understanding of how scientific knowledge is used in decision-making (Kruit et al., 2024).

Scientific argumentation is another essential component of SSI-based learning. When students discuss a socio-scientific issue, they need to make claims, provide evidence, explain their reasoning, and respond to alternative viewpoints. Such activities can help students move beyond simply expressing opinions and instead construct arguments supported by scientific knowledge and evidence (Kruit et al., 2024).

Inquiry-based instruction can strengthen SSI learning by providing students with opportunities to investigate questions before making decisions. Students can formulate questions, search for information, analyze evidence, compare explanations, and develop conclusions. In this way, inquiry transforms SSI from a discussion topic into a learning process in which students actively construct and evaluate scientific knowledge (Eymur & Çetin, 2024).

The integration of SSI, ethical reasoning, scientific argumentation, and inquiry therefore has considerable potential to develop science literacy. Rather than focusing exclusively on scientific content, this approach encourages students to use scientific knowledge in contexts that require reasoning, evidence evaluation, communication, and responsible decision-making (Dah et al., 2024).

Based on these considerations, this article aims to examine how socio-scientific issues can enhance science literacy through the integration of ethical reasoning, scientific argumentation, and inquiry-based instruction. The discussion focuses on how these three components can complement one another in creating science learning that is connected to authentic social and scientific problems.

2. Research Method

This article employs a literature review method to examine recent research on socio-scientific issues, scientific literacy, ethical reasoning, scientific argumentation, and inquiry-based instruction. The review aims to provide an overview of the development and application of SSI in science education (Dah et al., 2024).

The literature was selected based on its relevance to the central themes of the article. Particular attention was given to studies examining the relationship between SSI and scientific

literacy, argumentation, ethical reasoning, inquiry, decision-making, and students' engagement with real-world scientific problems (Çalik & Wiyarsi, 2025).

The selected literature was analyzed thematically by identifying recurring findings concerning the contribution of SSI to science literacy and the role of ethical reasoning, argumentation, and inquiry in SSI-based instruction. The findings were then synthesized to develop an integrated discussion of how these components can support students' scientific understanding and responsible participation in science-related issues (Lee & Lee, 2024).

3. Results And Discussion

Science literacy is increasingly understood as more than the acquisition of scientific facts. Scientifically literate students are expected to interpret scientific information, evaluate evidence, understand the nature of science, communicate explanations, and use scientific knowledge when responding to issues in everyday life. SSI provides an appropriate context for developing these competencies because students encounter scientific knowledge within meaningful social situations (Bossér, 2024).

The use of socio-scientific issues can make science learning more relevant to students because SSI connects classroom concepts with problems that occur in society. Students can discuss issues such as environmental sustainability, energy choices, technological development, and other scientific problems that have consequences for individuals and communities. This contextualization can help students recognize the practical value of scientific knowledge (Dah et al., 2024).

SSI based learning also provides opportunities for students to engage in decision-making. Unlike conventional science questions that may have clearly defined answers, socio-scientific problems often require students to consider several possible alternatives. Students need to evaluate scientific evidence while also considering social consequences and competing perspectives before reaching a reasoned decision (Çalik & Wiyarsi, 2025).

Ethical reasoning is an important dimension of this decision-making process. Students may encounter situations in which scientific evidence supports multiple possible actions, while each action has different consequences for people, communities, or the environment. Ethical reasoning encourages students to consider responsibility, fairness, benefits, risks, and potential consequences rather than relying only on personal preferences (Mamutse, 2024).

The integration of ethical reasoning also helps students understand that science and technology are embedded within social contexts. Scientific developments can produce benefits while simultaneously creating ethical dilemmas or social inequalities. Discussing these dimensions allows students to recognize that responsible use of science requires both scientific knowledge and consideration of human values (Klocke & Kruse, 2024).

Scientific argumentation is another central component of SSI-based instruction. Students are encouraged to formulate claims and support them with relevant evidence and reasoning. Through classroom discussions, students can compare competing explanations, identify weaknesses in arguments, and revise their positions when stronger evidence becomes available (Khishfe, 2022).

SSI provides particularly meaningful opportunities for argumentation because the issues are often complex and open to different interpretations. Students must consider scientific evidence while also responding to social and ethical perspectives. Research indicates that SSI-

based contexts can contribute to improvements in students' argumentation skills and understanding of the nature of science (Khishfe, 2022).

The quality of students' arguments can be improved when SSI instruction requires students to distinguish between claims based on evidence and unsupported opinions. Students can be asked to explain why they support a particular position, identify the evidence underlying their argument, and respond to counterarguments. Such activities encourage more systematic and evidence-based reasoning (Kruit et al., 2024).

Digital and interactive learning environments can further support argumentation around SSI. Web-based tools can provide students with access to information, structured discussion activities, and opportunities to examine different perspectives. Recent research involving a web based SSI learning instrument found positive effects on students' argumentation skills, content knowledge, and understanding of the nature of science (Kruit et al., 2024).

Inquiry based instruction complements SSI because students need scientific evidence before they can develop well-supported arguments. Through inquiry, students can investigate questions, collect information, analyze data, and evaluate explanations. This process enables students to base their positions on evidence rather than simply relying on personal beliefs or assumptions (Eymur & Çetin, 2024).

Inquiry also promotes active participation in scientific practices. Students may be involved in asking questions, investigating phenomena, interpreting information, and constructing explanations. Research on inquiry-based science laboratories demonstrates that meaningful scientific practices can contribute to students' scientific literacy by strengthening their ability to engage with evidence and scientific reasoning (Eymur & Çetin, 2024).

The combination of inquiry and SSI can therefore create a learning cycle in which students first encounter a real-world problem, formulate questions, investigate relevant scientific information, evaluate evidence, discuss ethical considerations, and construct an evidence-based position. This process connects scientific knowledge with reasoning and decision-making, which are important dimensions of science literacy (Dah et al., 2024).

Environmental SSI provides a particularly useful context for this integrated approach. Issues such as climate change, waste management, energy use, and environmental conservation require students to understand scientific concepts while considering their social and ethical consequences. A systematic review found that environmental SSI research frequently targets argumentation and decision-making, demonstrating the potential of environmental contexts for developing science literacy (Dah et al., 2024).

SSI-based learning can also encourage students to connect scientific knowledge with real-world action. Scientific literacy is not limited to knowing and discussing an issue; it can also involve using knowledge to respond responsibly to problems. Recent research suggests that argumentation-oriented SSI instruction can contribute to students' awareness of social problems and encourage forms of personal and participatory action (2024).

However, implementing SSI in science classrooms can be challenging for teachers. Teachers may need to manage complex discussions, support different viewpoints, provide appropriate scientific information, and maintain a balance between scientific content and social or ethical considerations. Research on science teachers' SSI pedagogy indicates that effective implementation requires appropriate pedagogical knowledge and practical experience with SSI-based teaching (Suryawati et al., 2022).

Another challenge is ensuring that SSI discussions remain scientifically grounded. Students may express strong personal opinions without sufficient scientific evidence. Teachers therefore need to help students distinguish between evidence-based scientific arguments and unsupported claims while allowing students to consider different ethical perspectives (Kruit et al., 2024).

Another challenge is ensuring that SSI discussions remain scientifically grounded. Students may express strong personal opinions without sufficient scientific evidence. Teachers therefore need to help students distinguish between evidence-based scientific arguments and unsupported claims while allowing students to consider different ethical perspectives (Kruit et al., 2024).

Overall, recent research indicates that SSI-based instruction can make a substantial contribution to science literacy when it is implemented through meaningful inquiry, evidence-based argumentation, and ethical reasoning. The strongest potential emerges when students are given opportunities to investigate authentic problems, examine scientific evidence, engage with alternative perspectives, consider ethical implications, and formulate reasoned decisions. This integrated approach can make science learning more relevant while developing students' capacity to use scientific knowledge responsibly in society (Çalik & Wiyarsi, 2025).

4. Conclusion

Socio-scientific issues provide a meaningful context for enhancing students' science literacy because they connect scientific knowledge with authentic social and ethical problems. Through SSI-based instruction, students can develop not only scientific understanding but also the ability to evaluate evidence, communicate ideas, consider different perspectives, and make informed decisions.

The integration of ethical reasoning, scientific argumentation, and inquiry-based instruction strengthens the learning process by giving students opportunities to investigate real-world problems before forming conclusions. Inquiry enables students to obtain and evaluate evidence, argumentation helps them construct and defend scientific claims, while ethical reasoning encourages them to consider the broader consequences of their decisions.

Therefore, SSI should not be treated merely as an additional topic in science lessons. It can function as an instructional framework that connects scientific knowledge, inquiry, argumentation, ethical reasoning, and decision-making. When these components are integrated effectively, science learning can become more relevant, reflective, and meaningful while preparing students to use scientific knowledge responsibly in everyday life.

5. References

- Bossér, U. (2024). Transformation of school science practices to promote functional scientific literacy. *Research in Science Education*, 54, 265–281.
- Çalik, M., & Wiyarsi, A. (2025). The effect of socio-scientific issues-based intervention studies on scientific literacy: A meta-analysis study. *International Journal of Science Education*, 47(3), 399–421.
- Dah, N. M., Mat Noor, M. S. A., Kamarudin, M. Z., & Syed Abdul Azziz, S. S. (2024). Environmental socio-scientific issues as contexts in developing scientific literacy in science education: A systematic literature review. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 9, 100765.
- Eymur, G., & Çetin, P. S. (2024). Investigating the role of an inquiry-based science lab on students' scientific literacy. *Instructional Science*, 52, 743–760.

- Khishfe, R. (2022). Nature of science and argumentation instruction in socioscientific and scientific contexts. *International Journal of Science Education*, 44(4), 647–673.
- Klocke, M., & Kruse, J. W. (2024). Easing into socioscientific issues and critical science literacy. *Science Scope*, 47(3), 6–10.
- Kruit, P. M., Bredeweg, B., & Nieuwelink, H. (2024). Enhancing students' argumentation skills, content knowledge, and Nature of Science understanding through a web-based educational instrument in the context of socio-scientific issues. *International Journal of Science Education*, 47(6), 749–768.
- Macalalag, A. Z., Jr., Kaufmann, A., Van Meter, B., Ricketts, A., Liao, E., et al. (2024). Socioscientific issues: Promoting science teachers' pedagogy on social justice. *Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Science Education Research*, 6, 28.
- Mamutse, K. (2024). Towards the integration of ethico-socio-scientific issues in science teaching in South Africa: A conceptual analysis. *Interchange*, 55, 475–491.
- Suryawati, E., et al. (2022). Exploring Indonesian biology teachers' perceptions and attitudes towards socio-scientific issues-based instruction. *Asia-Pacific Science Education*, 8(1), 256–291.